

Screening Decision: IN

Main

IU: QK KILBURN
 Crime Type: C H/O Crime Type: 1
 Allegation (Prefix):
 Allegation:
 Committed on/from Date: WED 24/08/2016 18:00
 Committed to Date: WED 24/08/2016 18:14
 Reported Date: WED 24/08/2016 18:15
 How Notified: NP Phone Call to Police
 Restricted? By: Date:
 Restriction Reason:

Flags

QK

Borough

Branch

HO Homophobic Incidents

Service

Area (Historical)

OIC

Is OIC Same As Reporting Officer ?
 Investigating Officer's Rank: PC
 Surname: BROWNE Initials: M
 Warrant Number: 238279 Div/D Number: Usual Relief: S
 Duty: AA Borough Uniform
 Station/Branch: QK KILBURN
 Allocated Date/Time: 25/08/2016 17:30
 Allocation Noted? Y Noted Date/Time: 25/08/2016 17:35
 BWV Noted? BWV Noted Date/Time:

Previous OIC Details

Usual Relief	Duty	Station Branch	Allocated Date/Time	Noted Date/Time	BWV Noted Date/Time
DS 232569	S WHITE CB Serious Crime Group	QK	25/08/2016 10:32	25/08/2016 17:21	
PC 239194	C HALFORD 125QK				
S	AA Borough Uniform	QK	24/08/2016 20:22	24/08/2016 20:23	

OIC Supervisor: DS/232569 S WHITE

Press

Suitable for Press? N
 Restrictions:
 Is this a Specrim? N
 Date/Time:
 Was a Firearm Used? N
 Was other Weapon Used? Y
 Are there Terrorist Implications? N

Transphobia?
Disability?
Targeted because of Vulnerability?

Digital Evidence

Is there Body Worn Video content in this case? N

Audio/Visual Content

#	AV Type	WtNo	Exhibit No	Evidential	Master	Link/66	Content shows
---	---------	------	------------	------------	--------	---------	---------------

Location

OMPD?
Address:
O/S,Lloyds groceries 104,CRAVEN PARK,
LONDON,NW10 8QE
Location Text:

GLU: QK Local Id: QK16 Grid Ref: 520972,184010
Watch Area:

Main

Location Type(s): FA Street
Is the Venue covered by CCTV or in a CCTV Area? N
CCTV Options:

Approach:
Entry Method:
Entry Point:
Exit Point:
Security:
Person On Premises?
Other Info:

Internal Transfer

Date/Time	Transferred By	Previous GLU	Previous IU
------------------	-----------------------	---------------------	--------------------

Transfer From MPS

Transfer from Met To:-
Service:
Station:
Their Reference:

Received By:-
Rank:
Number:
Surname:

Method

Current Position: C Classified
Method: GBH WITH INTENT

Classification Set by CIT:

Lock: Date:
By:

Classify

Main Classification:

	Code	Victim	Date
Initial:	S008/01	Y	24/08/2016 20:21
Current:	S005/01	Y	25/08/2016 15:34
Description:	SUBSTANT./Wounding etc with Intent to do Grievous Bodily Harm etc or to Resist Apprehension		

Subsidiary Classifications:

Code	Victim	Count
-------------	---------------	--------------

Confirm? Y Date: 25/08/2016 15:35
By: CIV /69129 SA ROBERTS
Victim Count Discrepancy:

Data Protection Act - Dispose Of As Confidential Waste
PC MJ SULLIVAN 368QK 220776
CR:1921568/16 CrType:C Notifiable/MPS/Other:N-1 Status:U Press:N Class:S/GBH W/I GLU:QK
Classification

No Crime

No Crime? Date:
Reason:
Confirm? Date:
By:

Crime Related Date:
Incident? Date:
Confirm? By:

Statistical Info

Current Status: U Undetected Crime Last Changed:
Cleared-Up Reason: Date:

Original Classification

Off.	Code	Victim	Count	Status	Outcome	Outcome Changed	Change Reason	Last Changed	Last Changed By
Main	S005/01	Y		U	18 Invest. complete: no susp id	13/09/2016 18:06:00		13/09/2016 18:06:00	PC 882TP /200640 D DUNKASON

Confirmed Date: 25/08/2016 15:35
Confirmed By: CIV /69129 SA ROBERTS

Statistical Parent CR:

Administrative Detections

Home Office Rule: Date:
By:

Explanatory Notes:

Data Protection Act - Dispose Of As Confidential Waste
PC MJ SULLIVAN 368QK 220776
CR:1921568/16 CrType:C Notifiable/MPS/Other:N-1 Status:U Press:N Class:S/GBH W/I GLU:QK
Classification

All Current Home Office
Requirements Satisfied:

Date:
By:

Of Interest To

Method Index:
CP Analysis:

Victim Amendments

Date Added Time VIW No By

Date Removed Time VIW No By

History

Off.	Code	Victim	Count	Status	Outcome	Reason	When	By
Main	S005/01	Y	1	U	00 - Outcome Pending		25/08/2016 15:35:00	CIV /69129 SA ROBERTS

24/08/2016 21:03

PC 239194 125QK C HALFORD

PRIMARY INVESTIGATION DETAILS : 1 Immediate action
CAD 5978/24AUG16

PC HALFORD 125QK and PC HAYES 970QK in attendance.

At 1815 hours VIW made a phone call to police stating that he had been hit by a glass bottle and was bleeding profusely. VIW stated that he was outside of Harlesden police station. On police arrival the victim was nowhere to be seen and several phone calls had to be made to him in order to find out where he was. VIW eventually met police outside of Harlesden Police station.

VIW stated that he had been to the Jamaican shop around the corner (Lloyds Groceries, Craven Park) and a Jamaican male who was stood outside of the shop asked him if he wanted to buy some cannabis. VIW refused to buy any cannabis and the suspect started to "dis" him. Suspect started to call the victim a "batty boy". The suspect was drinking Heineken from a bottle. At this point the suspect decided to hit the victim over the head with said glass heineken bottle. This caused the victim to fall to the floor. the bottle had cut the victim's head and the side of his face which had caused him to bleed. the victim apparently couldn't see because of the blood in his eyes. As the victim was on the floor three other Jamaican males started to kick him. The victim managed to get his phone out of his pocket and call the police. This scared the suspects away.

The victim had a small cut to the top of his head and a small cut to the right hand side of his face. This was cleaned by PC HAYES using sterile water and a dressing was placed over both wounds. An ambulance was called but we were informed that there would be a long wait. The victim was not prepared to wait on the

24/08/2016 21:03

PC 239194 125QK C HALFORD

PRIMARY INVESTIGATION DETAILS : 1 Immediate action
side of the road and stated that he was going to go home. Ambulance was redirected to the victim's given address. The victim was not suffering any dizziness and was only suffering from minor pain where his head had been cut. Victim was advised to go to hospital himself if he did not want to wait for an ambulance.

The victim informed us that he had been drinking throughout the day and smelled strongly of alcohol. He stated that he was willing to make a statement but when he was informed that the ambulance was going to take a while he got impatient and walked off towards his home address.

The only description of the suspect that the victim would give is that he was a thin Jamaican male with a bald head, about 50 years of age. The only description of the other three males was that they were Jamaican.

Enquiries were made at Lloyds groceries and there is no CCTV footage available. No Brent CCTV cameras covering the area.

The address that the victim has given does not exist, the numbers on that road only go up to about 40. A five year IIP search came back as no trace. No exact matches were found on PNC but the nearest match showed the victim as last coming to notice in 2014. It is believed that the victim has provided false details.

The victim's T shirt was seized as it had some blood on it

66 reference = 5670
105 = 6887
MPSG15630747

No crime scene opportunity, no blood on the floor outside Lloyds groceries, no signs of disturbance, no glass or glass bottle in the vicinity.

PRIMARY INVESTIGATION DETAILS : 2 Scene
1 - no crime scene opportunity as explained above.

No search for suspect as victim did not give an accurate description.

Clothing seized due to blood. the victim had been using this T shirt as a makeshift bandage and was holding it to his head on police arrival. bandage used to wipe the blood from the victim's head has also been seized with the T shirt.

PRIMARY INVESTIGATION DETAILS : 3 Forensics
1 - 7 N/A (already explained).

PRIMARY INVESTIGATION DETAILS : 4 Victims/Witnesses
All details recorded on VIW screen. Believed victim has given false details as previously explained.

Victim given victim care card with CAD number.

PRIMARY INVESTIGATION DETAILS : 5 Suspects

Description given on page 1. 4 Jamaican males, one that is skinny with a bald head and approximately 50 years of age. No further details provided by victim.

PRIMARY INVESTIGATION DETAILS : 6 Other evidence

CCTV enquiries conducted in area. No CCTV outside venue. Pyramid consulted - no cameras covering venue.

24/08/2016 21:04

PC 239194 125QK C HALFORD

Brent - Violent Crime Initial Investigation Officer's Risk Assessment

- Initial Investigating Officer: PC Halford 125QK
- CRIS checks for Victim - last three years: N/T
- CRIS checks on Suspect (if possible) - last three years: UNKNOWN
- CRIMINT checks on Victim - last three years: N/T
- CRIMINT checks on Suspect (if possible) - last three years: UNKNOWN
- What injuries, if any, has the Victim received? Had 2 small superficial cuts to top of head. One slightly deeper on right side of face, near his temple.
- What medical treatment if any, has the Victim received? First aid by officers. But refused to wait for LAS.
- How do the parties know to each other? Unknown
- Does any history between them involve violence or the threat of violence? UNKNOWN
- Does the suspect know where to locate the victim? UNKNOWN
- Has the suspect threatened to repeat the violence or the threat of violence? NO
- Is the victim a vulnerable adult or child? NO
- What evidence is there to suggest escalation and/or obsessive behaviour? NOTHING
- What weapons have been used or threatened? A BOTTLE.
- Are there any cultural dimensions to this case – such as so called ‘honour-based violence’?
NO
- Are there any other warning signals such as previous violent offences? NO

Risk Level:
STANDARD

Risk Management

- What have you done to REMOVE the risk? Victim was intoxicated and could not give any further details about the incident. He states that he would recognize the male if he was to see susp again in the street. He was advised

24/08/2016 21:04

PC 239194 125QK C HALFORD

not to approach the susp but to call police immediately. VIW was not really able to give a full descriptions. Police not able to gain a full account due to incomplete accounts and not answering fully. Police advised him as best they could. He was given a victim care card with cad ref.

- What have you done to AVOID the risk? Unknown susp
- What have you done to REDUCE the risk? As above
- Why is it reasonable to ACCEPT the risk? As above

If suspect is identifiable, what steps have been taken to arrest them? Susp is unknown at this time. VIW states that he would recognize SUSP. WADS possible, but at this time believed that he has given false pars.

24/08/2016 21:06

PC 239194 125QK C HALFORD

*****HOT RISK ASSESSMENT*****

*****If Yes to any of these questions detail required on Dets*****

VICTIM

Clear risk of further offending against victim.

no

Substantial financial loss. (Proportionate to victim's circumstances). no

Disability/Mental health issue. (Relevant to offence &/or relevant risk/vulnerability identified). no

Public Servant on duty. no

Close relationship to offender. (Relevant to the offence). no

Evidence of victimization. no

Substantial age difference to offender. (Relevant to the offence). no

OFFENCE

Complexity of investigation. no

Risk of high community impact. no

Evidence of corruption. no

Media interest. no

MPS Mandatory Crime. no

Crime scene & forensic opportunities identified.

no

Sensitive material/ information involved. no

Seek advice prior to entering detail on CRIS

Hazardous materials involved. no

OFFENDER

Likely to commit further offences. NK

Mental health issues. (Relevant

24/08/2016 21:06

PC 239194 125QK C HALFORD

to offence &/or
relevant risk/vulnerability identified).NK
Repeat offender against same victim. NO
Position of trust. NK
Relevant offending history. NK
Part of organized crime gang/MPS gang matrix.
NK
Borough target/Prolific priority offender. NK

24/08/2016 21:19

PS 199057 34QK SJ CARRANO

SEEN AND NOTED. QK5 AWARE AND UPDATED.

25/08/2016 10:30

ADS 200025 PD SMITH

I have spoken to the victim and have amended the address to a correct venue

The victim - states currently in NPH - Accident and emergency where he is waiting to have stiches in his head and face

He states that he does NOT know the suspect

He states that he MAY be able to ID

The call was cut short as he needed some medical attention but his injuries are NOT life threatening or changing and he is happy for police to meet him at his home address later on today

25/08/2016 10:33

ADS 200025 PD SMITH

OIC Changed

25/08/2016 15:35

CIV 69129 SA ROBERTS

Screened In

25/08/2016 15:35

CIV 69129 SA ROBERTS

Branch Flag HO added

25/08/2016 15:35

CIV 69129 SA ROBERTS

Classification confirmed

25/08/2016 17:30

DS 232569 S WHITE

SUPERVISION

On dealing with the victim Officers were unable to identify a crime scene. He declined LAS attendance to hospital and returned home. He later took himself to hospital.

- 1) OIC to make contact with victim to ascertain his current position on the allegation.
- 2) Depending on above statement to be taken. Does he know the suspect? Recognise the suspect again?
- 3) Attempt to identify scene, then consider CCTV.

25/08/2016 17:32

Details of Investigation

DS 232569 S WHITE

OIC Changed

25/08/2016 18:51

PC 238279 M BROWNE

OIC update

I have attempted to call the victim today. There was no reply, a voicemail has been left and I will call again later tonight.

25/08/2016 20:21

PC 238279 M BROWNE

I have spoken with the victim over the telephone. He is now at home after his visit to the hospital. He has had some stitches in his head and reports that he has broken a small bone in his face.

25/08/2016 20:21

PC 238279 M BROWNE

I asked if he would recognise the suspect again and he replied that he would as he has seen the man in the locality before. He confirmed that he would be willing to provide a statement and attend court if necessary. He was very keen to attend QD to provide a statement, I have arranged for him to come to Wembley at 1500 hours tomorrow (26.08.16) to take a statement.

26/08/2016 15:33

PC 238279 M BROWNE

OIC Update

VIW has failed to attend his appointment. I have called him and rearranged for the statement to be taken on Tuesday 30.08.2016 at 1500 hours.

31/08/2016 08:27

PC 238279 M BROWNE

Victim attended QD yesterday and provided a statement. The victims account of events differs from his initial account given to police at the scene.

In his statement, the victim states that he walked past a group of males stood outside Lloyds Groceries. As he walked past them, one of them called him a 'batty boy', the victim ignored this and carried on walking to a local chicken shop, the name of which the victim does not remember. After about three minutes, the victim left the shop and walked back past the same group of males. As he walked past, the male who had shouted at him previously shouted at him again and hit him on the top of the head with a glass bottle. The victim then put his hands up to protect himself and the suspect hit him again on the side of the head.

The victim states that he lost consciousness for about five minutes but heard a male shouting 'run'. When he regained consciousness the victim called police.

02/09/2016 20:46

DS 232569 S WHITE

SUPERVISION

04/10/2017 10:27

I note the above from the OIC. CCTV enq's to be conducted at and around Lloyds groceries to see if incident has been captured.

03/09/2016 13:56

PC 238279 M BROWNE

OIC UPDATE

The victim attended Wembley Police Station yesterday and I have taken photographs of his injuries.

He has two steri-strips on his right cheek by his ear and states that doctors have told him he has broken a bone at that point. No other injuries were visible, however, VIW complaining of pain to the top of his head.

04/09/2016 17:35

PC 238279 M BROWNE

I have conducted CCTV enquiries with Brent Council who confirm that the area specified by VIW1 is not covered by CCTV. The IIO has confirmed that there is no CCTV outside the venue after enquiries at the scene.

There is no private CCTV present which would cover the offence and there appears to be no witnesses to the offence. I have taken pictures of the injuries to the victim and taken a statement regarding the offence. I have also advised the victim to call police if he sees the suspect again.

There are no further lines of enquiry at this stage and no suspect has been identified. I am, therefore, submitting this report for closure - Recommended Outcome 18.

07/09/2016 10:51

DS 232569 S WHITE

SUPERVISION

I have reviewed the above CRIS. I agree with the OIC that this investigation is now complete. I dealt with the initial reporting officers who advised that the victim was clearly drunk when being spoken to and was very vague in his version of the incident. At the time he declined LAS attendance and only later attended hospital. It is concerning that his statement differs somewhat in his account. The initial report details the offer of drugs but in his statement the victim makes no mention of this. Unfortunately, at the time of the incident the crime scene could not be identified as again the victim was

07/09/2016 10:51

DS 232569 S WHITE

vague. Moreover, there were no witnesses identified. CCTV enquiries have been conducted but there were no camera's covering the area of the potential crime scene.

OUTCOME 18